



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trainmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

09249384

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ANDERSON

ATTY, DOCKET NO. A-12222-US (C

HM12/1012

EXAMINER

MARK E WADDELL BRYAN CAVE 245 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10167-0034

09/249,384 02/12/99

ARTUNITIE S PAPER NUMBER 1621

DATE MAILED:

10/12/99

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
	This action is FINAL.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is closed in
the	shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three hichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the perice application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, d for response will cause er the provisions of 37 CFR
	Isposition of Claims	
170	Claim(s) 1-18	is/are pending in the application.
VZ.		are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)	
×	Claim(s) 1-18 Claim(s) 1-18	is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	Claim(s)are subject to	restriction or election requirement.
Ар	pplication Papers	
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	e Examiner. approved disapproved.
Pri	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
	🔀 received.	
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	·
_	*Certified copies not received:	
Ц	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	•
At	ttachment(s)	
Ø	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
×	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
П	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
L C		
L	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	*:
	-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-
- (d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 98810114.3, filed on February 13, 1998 in Europe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Kaufmann et al. (US5334750, 1994) or, alternatively, Florent et al (FR2736354, 1997).

Application/Control Number: 09249384 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Kaufmann et al (columns 2 and 4), or alternatively Florent et al. (Page 3) teaches compounds of formula I wherein R¹ is an aliphatic or aromatic hydrocarbon, R² is a hydrogen, R³ is a hydrogen and X is a hydroxy group.

Kaufmann et al. and Florent et al. differ from the instant application in the length of the aliphatic and aromatic carbon chain, R1. For example, the aliphatic hydrocarbon chains are defined in Kaufmann et al. and Florent et al. as C1-C20 and C1-C10, respectively. In the instant application, R1 is a C10-C30 aliphatic or aromatic hydrocarbon residue. In addition, the instant invention recites that the acrylic double bond is of the E-configuration, which is apparent from Kaufmann et al and Florent et al drawings.

It would have been obvious to an individual with ordinary skills in the art to determine that the acrylic double bond must be in the E-configuration because the resulting cinnamic acid derivatives would be more stable than corresponding ones with the double bond in the cisconfiguration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 8-10 recite the use of "Olfactory" alcohols, aldehydes and ketones. It is not clear as to what extent does "Olfactory" limit the genus of the alcohols, aldehydes and ketones.

Application/Control Number: 09249384

Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

- 3º

Claims 12 -14 recite a compound but does not define all of the atoms and bonds in the

compound.

Claims 15-18 provide for the method of use of compositions, which upon "activation"

yield organoleptic, antimicrobial or fluorescent whitening properties. The claims do not set forth

any steps involved in the method/process, and it is unclear whether "activation" is required or not

to meet the claim(s)?

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier ones from the examiner should be

directed to Dr. Sherif A. Kafafi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0509. The examiner can

be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached on (703) 308-1701. The fax telephone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Sherif A. Kafafi, PhD

October 4, 1999.

PAUL J. KILLOS PRIMARY EXAMINER Acting spor

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1621.